



NOTTINGHAMSHIRE
Fire & Rescue Service
Creating Safer Communities

Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham
Fire and Rescue Authority

EXTERNAL AUDITORS' REPORT TO THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE 2012/13

Joint Report of the Chief Fire Officer and the Treasurer

Agenda Item No:

Date: 27 September 2013

Purpose of Report:

To present the External Auditors' ISA 260 Report to Members, and to seek Members' approval of the management representation letter to the External Auditors.

CONTACT OFFICER

Name : Neil Timms
Strategic Director of Finance and Resources

Tel : 0115 967 0880

Email : neil.timms@notts-fire.gov.uk

**Media Enquiries
Contact :** Matt Sismey
(0115) 967 5889 matt.sismey@notts-fire.gov.uk

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The External Auditors are required by the International Standard on Auditing 260 (ISA 260) to communicate about the audit of the Authority's financial statements with those charged with governance. This communication is in the form of a written report which is attached as Appendix A.
- 1.2 This covering report sets out the key points within the ISA 260 report. The principal purposes of the Auditors' report are:
- To present key issues identified during the audit of the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013 and any material misstatements in the accounts
 - To report on any key issues for governance
 - To report on the Auditors' Value for Money conclusion
 - To give an "audit opinion" on the financial statements
 - To report on the implementation of any recommendations in the previous year's ISA 260 report
 - To seek approval to the management representation letter, which confirms the Authority's responsibilities and actions in relation to the financial statements
- 1.3 The KPMG Director who is responsible for the ISA 260 report will be present at the meeting to present the report and answer questions arising.

2. REPORT

- 2.1 The annual audit is substantially complete and the ISA 260 report sets out the key issues to be considered by Members before the audit opinion can be issued.
- 2.2 The ISA 260 report confirms that the Auditors expect to issue an unqualified audit opinion by the statutory deadline of 30 September 2013, and an unqualified Value for Money conclusion.
- 2.3 The audit did not identify any material errors in the financial statements. There were a number of non-trivial errors, most of which affected only presentational aspects of the accounts. One error is described as significant and is reported to Members in Appendix 1 of the Auditors' report. This relates to an interest payment of £270k to the Public Works Loans Board (PWL), which was incorrectly shown as a debtor in the accounts and added to the carrying value of short term borrowings on the Authority's Balance Sheet. This error did not impact upon the Authority's General Fund balance and has been corrected.
- 2.4 Prior to the start of the audit, Finance Department staff identified four errors in the accounts and, as a result, amended two figures in the Treasurer's Foreword as well as figures in two disclosure notes.

- 2.5 The Auditors identified a further six errors, which have all been corrected:
- The incorrect treatment of PWLB interest as outlined in paragraph 2.3 above
 - Outstanding finance lease liabilities were all shown within Long Term Liabilities on the Balance Sheet – a proportion of this total is now correctly shown within Short Term Liabilities
 - An impairment charge was incorrectly described as a depreciation charge (the amount of the charge was correct)
 - The disclosure note showing the Authority’s programme of revaluations of non-current assets included some incorrect figures
 - The disclosure note for Creditors included an amount owed to “Other Entities and Individuals” instead of “Other Local Authorities”
 - The Annual Governance Statement did not confirm that the system of internal control was in place for the duration of the year
- 2.6 The Auditors identified a further ten minor errors, which included rounding differences, required wording changes, typographical errors and errors of low value.
- 2.7 The ISA 260 report confirms that the accounts production and audit processes were good, with no specific risks identified. In addition, the organisational and IT control environment was found to be effective overall, with sound controls in the Authority’s financial systems.
- 2.8 Last year, the Auditors made three recommendations in their ISA 260 report for 2011/12. This year, they report that two of the recommendations were implemented in the year, but the recommendation to strengthen network password controls was not implemented until the 2013/14 financial year. This will be followed up by the Auditors and no new recommendations have been made in this report.
- 2.9 The ISA 260 report includes a declaration of the Auditors’ independence and objectivity in relation to the audit of the accounts.
- 2.10 The management representation letter will be signed by the Chair of the Fire Authority and by the Treasurer to the Fire Authority, and Members are asked to approve this letter, a draft copy of which is shown in Appendix 3 to the ISA 260 report.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications are set out in full in the body of this report.

4. HUMAN RESOURCES AND LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPLICATIONS

There are no specific human resources and learning and development implications arising from this report.

5. EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

An equality impact assessment has not been carried out because this is a report about the External Audit of the financial statements and not a new policy.

6. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

There are no crime and disorder implications arising from this report.

7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

8. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

The work of the External Auditors in their audit of the Authority's financial statements provides an independent view of the adequacy of internal controls, the accuracy of the final accounts and an assessment of the Authority's arrangements for achieving value for money. This provides Members with some assurance about the quality of financial management and financial reporting within the Authority.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that Members:

- 9.1 Note the content of the External Auditors' ISA 260 report.
- 9.2 Approve the management representation letter to the External Auditors as set out in Appendix 3 of the ISA 260 report.

10. BACKGROUND PAPERS FOR INSPECTION (OTHER THAN PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS)

None.

Frank Swann
CHIEF FIRE OFFICER

Peter Hurford
TREASURER TO THE FIRE AUTHORITY



cutting through complexity™

Report to those charged with governance (ISA 260) 2012/13

Nottinghamshire and City of
Nottingham Fire Authority

September 2013



The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

John Cornett

Director

KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 0116 256 6064

john.cornett@kpmg.co.uk

Flora Smith

Assistant Manager

KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 0116 256 6065

flora.smith@kpmg.co.uk

Report sections

■ Introduction	2
■ Headlines	3
■ Financial statements	4
■ VFM conclusion	8

Appendices

1. Audit differences	9
2. Declaration of independence and objectivity	10
3. Draft management representation letter	12

Page

This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. The Audit Commission has issued a document entitled *Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies*. This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. We draw your attention to this document which is available on the Audit Commission's website at www.auditcommission.gov.uk.

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact John Cornett the appointed engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact Trevor Rees on 0161 246 4000, or by email to trevor.rees@kpmg.co.uk, who is the national contact partner for all of KPMG's work with the Audit Commission. After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access the Audit Commission's complaints procedure. Put your complaint in writing to the Complaints Unit Manager, Audit Commission, 3rd Floor, Fry Building, 2 Marsham Street, London, SW1P 4DF or by email to complaints@audit-commission.gsi.gov.uk. Their telephone number is 03034448330.

This report summarises:


- the key issues identified during our audit of Nottinghamshire and City Of Nottingham Fire Authority's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013; and
- our assessment of the Authority's arrangements to secure value for money (VFM) in its use of resources.

Financial statements


Our *External Audit Plan 2012/13* presented to the Finance and Resources Committee in April 2013 set out the four stages of our financial statements audit process.



This report focuses on the second and third stages of the process: control evaluation and substantive procedures. Our on site work for these took place in two tranches during March 2013 (interim audit) and July/ August 2013 (year end audit). We carried out the following work:



We are now in the final phase of the audit. Some aspects are also discharged through this report:



VFM conclusion

Our *External Audit Plan 2012/13* explained our risk-based approach to VFM work, which follows guidance provided by the Audit Commission. We have completed our work to support our 2012/13 VFM conclusion. This included:

- assessing the potential VFM risks and identifying the residual audit risks for our VFM conclusion;
- considering the results of any relevant work by the Authority, the Audit Commission, other inspectorates and review agencies in relation to these risk areas.

Structure of this report

This report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 summarises the headline messages.
- Section 3 sets out the key findings from our audit work in relation to the 2012/13 financial statements.
- Section 4 outlines the key findings from our work on the VFM conclusion.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to thank Officers and Members for their continuing help and co-operation throughout our audit work.

This table summarises the headline messages. The remainder of this report provides further details on each area.

Proposed audit opinion	We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion by 30 September 2013.
Audit adjustments	<p>We are pleased to report that our audit of your financial statements did not identify any material adjustments. The Authority made a small number of non trivial adjustments, most of which were of a presentational nature. There was no impact on the General Fund.</p> <p>For completeness, we have included a list of all non-trivial audit differences in Appendix 2. The Authority have agreed that all of these will be adjusted</p>
Accounts production and audit process	<p>The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the accounts and good quality supporting working papers. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process has been completed within the planned timescales.</p> <p>We did not identify any specific risks for this year's audit.</p>
Control environment	The Authority's organisation and IT control environment is effective overall, and controls over the key financial systems are sound.
Completion	<p>At the date of this report our audit of the financial statements is substantially complete. Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.</p> <p>We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.</p>
VFM conclusion	We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We therefore anticipate issuing an unqualified VFM conclusion by 30 September 2013.

We have identified no issues in the course of the audit that are considered to be material.

The wording of your Annual Governance Statement accords with our understanding of the Authority.

Proposed audit opinion

We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion by 30 September 2013.

Audit differences

In accordance with ISA 260 we are required to report uncorrected audit differences to you. We also report any material misstatements which have been corrected and which we believe should be communicated to you to help you meet your governance responsibilities.

We did not identify any material misstatements

Our audit identified a total of one significant audit difference, which we set out in Appendix 1. It is our understanding that these will be adjusted in the final version of the financial statements.

There is no net impact on the General Fund as a result of audit adjustments

In addition, we identified a small number of presentational adjustments required to ensure that the accounts are compliant with the *Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting the United Kingdom 2012/13* ('the Code'). We understand that the Authority will be addressing these where significant.

Annual Governance Statement

We have reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and following two minor amendments have confirmed that:

- it complies with *Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: A Framework* published by CIPFA/SOLACE; and
- it is not misleading or inconsistent with other information we are aware of from our audit of the financial statements.

The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the accounts and good quality supporting working papers.

Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process should be completed within the planned timescales.

The Authority has implemented two of the three recommendations in the 2011/12 ISA 260 Report

Accounts production and audit process

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you our views about the significant qualitative aspects of the Authority's accounting practices and financial reporting. We also assessed the Authority's process for preparing the accounts and its support for an efficient audit.

We considered the following criteria:

Element	Commentary
Accounting practices and financial reporting	The Authority has good financial reporting arrangements in place. We consider that accounting practices are appropriate.
Completeness of draft accounts	We received a complete set of accounts for audit on 2 July 2013
Quality of supporting working papers	Our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> , which we issued in February 2013 and discussed with the Principal Accountant, set out our working paper requirements for the audit. The quality of working papers provided met the standards specified in our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> .
Critical accounting matters (key audit risks)	We have discussed with officers throughout the year the areas of specific audit. There are no matters to draw to your attention.

Element	Commentary
Response to audit queries	Officers resolved audit queries in a reasonable time.

Prior year recommendations

As part of our audit we have specifically followed up the Authority's progress in addressing the recommendations in last years ISA 260 report.

Of the three recommendations raised by the previous auditor in their 2011/12 ISA 260 report the Authority have implemented two in full. One of the recommendations made, in relation to network password controls, was not implemented during 2012/13. We understand however that a solution has now been put in place by the Authority. We will therefore revisit this during our 2013/14 audit.

Control Environment

The Authority's organisation and IT control environment is effective overall, and controls over the key financial systems are sound.

During February 2013 we completed our control evaluation work. We did not issue an interim report as there were no significant issues arising from this work. For completeness we reflect on key findings from this work.

Organisational and IT control environment

Controls operated at an organisational level often have an impact on controls at an operational level and if there were weaknesses this would have implications for our audit. We therefore obtain an understanding of the Authority's overall control environment and determine if appropriate controls have been implemented.

The Authority also relies on information technology (IT) to support both financial reporting and internal control processes. In order to satisfy ourselves that we can rely on the use of IT, we test controls over access to systems and data, system changes, system development and computer operations.

We found that your organisational and IT control environment is effective overall.

Whilst the previous auditor's recommendation around password controls was not implemented during 2012/13 we understand that this has now been addressed following the year end.

Controls over key financial systems

Where we have determined that this is the most efficient audit approach to take, we test selected controls that address key risks within the financial systems. The strength of the control framework informs the substantive testing we complete during our final accounts visit.

Based on our work on controls over the year end process, the controls over the financial systems are sound.

Completion

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.

Once we have finalised our opinions and conclusions we will prepare our *Annual Audit Letter* and close our audit.

Declaration of independence and objectivity

As part of the finalisation process we are required to provide you with representations concerning our independence.

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority for the year ending 31 March 2013, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority Authority, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Audit Commission's requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

We have provided a detailed declaration in Appendix 3 in accordance with ISA 260.

Management representations

You are required to provide us with representations on specific matters such as your financial standing and whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud. We have provided a template to the Director of Finance and Resources a draft of which is reproduced in Appendix 3. We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

Other matters

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you by exception 'audit matters of governance interest that arise from the audit of the financial statements'.

There are no others matters which we wish to draw to your attention in addition to those highlighted in this report relating to the audit of the Authority's 2012/13 financial statements.

Our VFM conclusion considers how the Authority secures financial resilience and challenges how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Background

Auditors are required to give their statutory VFM conclusion based on two criteria specified by the Audit Commission. These consider whether the Authority has proper arrangements in place for:

- securing financial resilience: looking at the Authority’s financial governance, financial planning and financial control processes; and
- challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness: looking at how the Authority is prioritising resources and improving efficiency and productivity.

We follow a risk based approach to target audit effort on the areas of greatest audit risk. We consider the arrangements put in place by the Authority to mitigate these risks and plan our work accordingly. Our approach was set out in more detail in our *External Audit Plan 2012/13*.

Risk assessment

We completed our initial risk assessment taking account of the challenges faced by the Authority, particularly the current overall economic position and restraint on public funding.

Our initial risk assessment did not identify any significant risks that would result in a qualified conclusion.

We also considered the work carried out by the Authority and the arrangements it had put in place in respect of the two specified VFM criteria.

We concluded that risks were sufficiently mitigated and there was no need for us to carry out any additional unplanned audit work in this area.

Conclusion

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

VFM criterion	Met
Securing financial resilience	✓
Securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	✓

Appendix 1: Audit differences

This appendix sets out the non-trivial audit differences within the primary financial statements.

These have been amended in the revised financial statements.

We are required by ISA 260 to report all uncorrected misstatements, other than those that we believe are clearly trivial, to those charged with governance (which in the Authority's case is the Finance and Resources Committee). We are also required to report all material misstatements that have been corrected but that we believe should be communicated to you to assist you in fulfilling your governance responsibilities.

Corrected audit differences

The following table sets out the significant audit differences identified by our audit of Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013. These have been adjusted by officers within the revised financial statements.

No.	Impact		Basis of audit difference
	Assets	Liabilities	
1	Cr Short term debtors £270k	Dr Short term borrowings £270k	Interest of £270k was due to PWLB as of 2 April 2013. This was incorrectly included as a prepayment within short term debtors and added to the carrying amount of the loan within short term borrowings.
	Cr £270k	Dr £270k	Total impact of adjustments

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to exercise our professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the Authority.

Requirements

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission must comply with the *Code of Audit Practice* (the Code) which states that:

“Auditors and their staff should exercise their professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the audited body. Auditors, or any firm with which an auditor is associated, should not carry out work for an audited body that does not relate directly to the discharge of auditors’ functions, if it would impair the auditors’ independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be impaired.”

In considering issues of independence and objectivity we consider relevant professional, regulatory and legal requirements and guidance, including the provisions of the Code, the detailed provisions of the Statement of Independence included within the Audit Commission’s Standing guidance for local government auditors (Audit Commission Guidance) and the requirements of APB Ethical Standard 1 *Integrity, Objectivity and Independence* (Ethical Standards).

The Code states that, in carrying out their audit of the financial statements, auditors should comply with auditing standards currently in force, and as may be amended from time to time. Audit Commission Guidance requires appointed auditors to follow the provisions of ISA (UK & I) 260 Communication of *Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance* that are applicable to the audit of listed companies. This means that the appointed auditor must disclose in writing:

- Details of all relationships between the auditor and the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by the audit firm and its network to the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, that the auditor considers may reasonably be thought to bear on the auditor’s objectivity and independence.
- The related safeguards that are in place.

- The total amount of fees that the auditor and the auditor’s network firms have charged to the client and its affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed into appropriate categories, for example, statutory audit services, further audit services, tax advisory services and other non-audit services. For each category, the amounts of any future services which have been contracted or where a written proposal has been submitted are separately disclosed. We do this in our Annual Audit Letter.

Appointed auditors are also required to confirm in writing that they have complied with Ethical Standards and that, in the auditor’s professional judgement, the auditor is independent and the auditor’s objectivity is not compromised, or otherwise declare that the auditor has concerns that the auditor’s objectivity and independence may be compromised and explaining the actions which necessarily follow from his. These matters should be discussed with the Finance and Resources Committee.

Ethical Standards require us to communicate to those charged with governance in writing at least annually all significant facts and matters, including those related to the provision of non-audit services and the safeguards put in place that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and the objectivity of the Audit Partner and the audit team.

General procedures to safeguard independence and objectivity

KPMG’s reputation is built, in great part, upon the conduct of our professionals and their ability to deliver objective and independent advice and opinions. That integrity and objectivity underpins the work that KPMG performs and is important to the regulatory environments in which we operate. All partners and staff have an obligation to maintain the relevant level of required independence and to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that may impair that independence.

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Acting as an auditor places specific obligations on the firm, partners and staff in order to demonstrate the firm's required independence. KPMG's policies and procedures regarding independence matters are detailed in the Ethics and Independence Manual ('the Manual'). The Manual sets out the overriding principles and summarises the policies and regulations which all partners and staff must adhere to in the area of professional conduct and in dealings with clients and others.

KPMG is committed to ensuring that all partners and staff are aware of these principles. To facilitate this, a hard copy of the Manual is provided to everyone annually. The Manual is divided into two parts. Part 1 sets out KPMG's ethics and independence policies which partners and staff must observe both in relation to their personal dealings and in relation to the professional services they provide. Part 2 of the Manual summarises the key risk management policies which partners and staff are required to follow when providing such services.

All partners and staff must understand the personal responsibilities they have towards complying with the policies outlined in the Manual and follow them at all times. To acknowledge understanding of and adherence to the policies set out in the Manual, all partners and staff are required to submit an annual Ethics and Independence Confirmation. Failure to follow these policies can result in disciplinary action.

Auditor declaration

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority for the financial year ending 31 March 2013, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Audit Commission's requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

We ask you to provide us with representations on specific matters such as whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud.

The wording for these representations is prescribed by auditing standards.

We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

Dear Sirs

This representation letter is provided in connection with your audit of the financial statements of Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority (“the Authority”), for the year ended 31 March 2013, for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether these:

- i. give a true and fair view of the financial position of [name of authority] as at 31 March 2013 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- ii. have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

These financial statements comprise the Movement in Reserves Statement, the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement and the related notes, the Firefighters’ Pension fund account, the Firefighters’ Pension Fund Net Assets Statement and the related notes.

The Authority confirms that the representations it makes in this letter are in accordance with the definitions set out in the Appendix to this letter.

The Authority confirms that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as it considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing itself:

Financial statements

1. The Authority has fulfilled its responsibilities, as set out in regulation 8 of the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011, for the preparation of financial statements that:
 - give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority as at 31 March 2013 and of the Authority’s expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
 - have been properly prepared in accordance with the

CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis.

2. Measurement methods and significant assumptions used by the Authority in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.
3. All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13 require adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.

Information provided

5. The Authority has provided you with:
 - access to all information of which it is aware, that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements, such as records, documentation and other matters;
 - additional information that you have requested from the Authority for the purpose of the audit; and
 - unrestricted access to persons within the Authority from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.

We ask you to provide us with representations on specific matters such as whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud.

The wording for these representations is prescribed by auditing standards.

We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

All transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

6. The Authority acknowledges its responsibility for such internal control as it determines necessary for the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In particular, the Authority acknowledges its responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud and error.

The Authority has disclosed to you the results of its assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

7. The Authority has disclosed to you all information in relation to:
- a) Fraud or suspected fraud that it is aware of and that affects the Authority and involves:
 - management;
 - employees who have significant roles in internal control; or
 - others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements; and
 - b) allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the financial statements communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.
8. The Authority has disclosed to you all known instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.
9. The Authority has disclosed to you and has appropriately accounted for and/or disclosed in the financial statements in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13 all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

10. The Authority has disclosed to you the identity of the Authority's

related parties and all the related party relationships and transactions of which it is aware and all related party relationships and transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

Included in the Appendix to this letter are the definitions of both a related party and a related party transaction as the Authority understands them and as defined in IAS 24, except where interpretations or adaptations to fit the public sector are detailed in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

11. On the basis of the process established by the Authority and having made appropriate enquiries, the Authority is satisfied that the actuarial assumptions underlying the valuation of pension scheme liabilities are consistent with its knowledge of the business.

The Authority further confirms that:

- a) all significant retirement benefits, including any arrangements that:
 - are statutory, contractual or implicit in the employer's actions;
 - arise in the UK and the Republic of Ireland or overseas;
 - are funded or unfunded; and
 - are approved or unapproved,

have been identified and properly accounted for; and

- a) all settlements and curtailments have been identified and properly accounted for.

This letter was tabled and agreed at the meeting of the Fire Authority on 27 September 2013

Yours faithfully,

Chair of the Fire Authority; Treasurer to the Fire Authority



cutting through complexity™

© 2013 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership, is a subsidiary of KPMG Europe LLP and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative, a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. This document is confidential and its circulation and use are restricted. KPMG and the KPMG logo are registered trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative, a Swiss entity.

The KPMG name, logo and 'cutting through complexity' are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative (KPMG International).